



IAPI10 Rec'd PCT/PTO 27 DEC 2005

PCT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/550,180  
Filed: September 21, 2005  
International Filing Date: March 22, 2004  
Applicant: Mueller et al.  
Group Art Unit: Not yet known  
Examiner: Not yet known  
Entitled: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR  
BROADCAST COMMUNICATIONS  
Docket No.: IPU1954-003

Mail Stop AMENDMENT  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8 (A)

Date of Deposit: December 21, 2005

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Trisha M. Beachy-Bryant  
Trisha M. Beachy-Bryant

Sir:

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR §§ 1.97-1.98**

As authorized and encouraged under 37 CFR §§ 1.97-1.98 and the provisions of MPEP §§ 609 and 707.05(b), Applicant submits on the attached form PTO-1449 certain patent references, publications and/or other information that the Patent and Trademark Office may wish to consider in examining the above-identified patent application. Applicant submits this statement in accordance with their duty of disclosure under 37 CFR § 1.56.

In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.98, a copy of each document, other than the U.S. patent document, is included for the express purpose of providing the Patent and Trademark Office with ample opportunity to evaluate the same and arrive at an

independent assessment of the materiality of each, if any, to the examination of the above-identified application. In reviewing the enclosed copies, the Examiner is instructed to ignore any underscoring or highlighting which may have been done because such markings may or may not have any relationship to the subject matter of the above-identified application. The copies being submitted with this statement are the best copies available at this time.

The identification of any document in this statement is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law.

This statement is filed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.97(b), before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits.

Applicant respectfully requests that the documents cited in this statement be made of record in the normal manner and that such documents appear on the printed patent as being considered and made of record.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 12-21-05

By:

  
Eric M. Gayan  
Registration No. 46,103  
Standley Law Group LLP  
495 Metro Place South, Suite 210  
Dublin, Ohio 43017-5319  
Telephone: (614) 792-5555  
Facsimile: (614) 792-5536



**FORM PTO-1449 TO BE FILED WITH  
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

U.S. Department of Commerce : Atty. Docket No.: IPU1954-003  
Patent and Trademark Office : Application No.: 10/550,180  
: Filed: September 21, 2005  
: International Filing Date: March 22, 2004  
INFORMATION : Applicant: Mueller et al.  
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT : Group Art Unit: Not yet known  
BY APPLICANT : Examiner: Not yet known

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENT**

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Name(s)	Class/ Subclass
	US 2002/0053078 A1	5/2/2002	Holtz et al.	725/14

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENT**

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Name(s)	Translation yes/no
	WO 01/15451 A1	3/1/2001	Wu et al.	n/a

**OTHER DOCUMENT**

Examiner's Initial	Citation
	Wu, M-Y. et al., "Scheduled Video Delivery for Scalable On-Demand Service", NOSSDAV'02, May 12-14, 2002, pp. 167-175.

Examiner	Date Considered
----------	-----------------

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.